

REMARKS

In accordance with the Office Action of April 7, 2006, Claims 1-2 stand rejected, Claims 3-8 are allowed, and Claims 3, 5, 6, and 8 are objected to.

More specifically, Claims 1 and 2 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) because the Examiner believes the applicant did not solely invent the claimed subject matter. Further, Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as indefinite. Applicant cancelled Claims 1 and 2 and added new Claims 9 and 10 to more particularly recite the Applicant's invention. No new matter has been added. Applicant believes that new Claims 9-10 are patentable over the prior art of record.

In accordance with Examiner's objections, Applicant corrected Claims 3, 5, 6 and 8. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 3-8 are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is urged to telephone Applicant's undersigned counsel at the number noted below if it will advance the prosecution of this application, or with any suggestion to resolve any condition that would impede allowance. In the event that any extension of time is required, Applicant petitions for that extension of time required to make this response timely. Kindly charge any additional fee, or credit any surplus, to Deposit Account No. 50-0675, Order No. 051319-55.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 30, 2006

Anna Vishev
Anna Vishev
Reg. No. 45,018

Schulte Roth & Zabel, LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022